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#### Abstract

In this paper, we introduce a new class of functions called fuzzy completely $e$-irresolute functions between fuzzy topological spaces and also in this paper, fuzzy $\boldsymbol{e}$-open sets and fuzzy $\boldsymbol{e}$-closed sets are used to define and investigate a new class of functions called fuzzy completely weakly $e$-irresolute. Relationships between the new class and other classes of functionsare established.
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## 1 Introduction

EVER since the introduction of fuzzy sets by Zadeh [20], the fuzzy concepts has invaded almost all branches of Mathematics. The concept of fuzzy topological space has introduced by chang [5] in 1968. Since then many fuzzy topologists have extended various notions in classical topology to fuzzy topological spaces. In this paper, fuzzy $e$-open sets and fuzzy $e$-closed sets are used to define and investigate a new class of functions called fuzzy completely weakly $e$ irresolute. Relationships between the new class and other classes of functions are established. Throughout this paper $X$ and $Y$ are always fuzzy topological spaces. The class of all fuzzy sets on a universe $X$ will be denoted by $I^{X}$. Let $A$ be a fuzzy subset of a space $X$. The fuzzy closure of $A$, fuzzy interior of $A$, fuzzy $\delta$-closure of $A$ and the fuzzy $\delta$-interior of $A$ are denoted by $C l(A), \operatorname{Int}(A), C l_{\delta}(A)$ and $\operatorname{Int}_{\delta}(A)$ respectively.

A fuzzy subset $A$ of space $X$ is called fuzzy regular open [1] (resp. fuzzy regular closed) if $A=\operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(A))$ (resp. $A=C l(\operatorname{Int}(A))$. The fuzzy $\delta$-interior of fuzzy subset $A$ of X is the union of all fuzzy regular open sets contained in $A$. A fuzzy subset $A$ is called fuzzy $\delta$-open [12] if $\left.A=\operatorname{Int}_{\delta}(A)\right)$. The complement of fuzzy $\delta$-open set is called fuzzy $\delta$ closed (i.e,. $\left.A=C l_{\delta}(A)\right)$
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## 2 Preliminaries

Now, we introduce some basic notions and results that are used in the sequel.

Definition 2.1. A fuzzy topology on a nonempty set $X$ is a family $\delta$ of fuzzy subsets of $X$ which satisfies the following three conditions:
(i) $0,1 \in \delta$,
(ii) If $\mathrm{g}, h \in \delta$, their $\mathrm{g} \wedge h \in \delta$
(iii) $f_{i} \in \delta$ for each $i \in I$, then $\bigvee_{i \in I} f_{i} \in \delta$.

The pair $(X, \tau)$ is called a fuzzy topological space [5].
Definition 2.2. Members of $\delta$ are called fuzzy open sets [5] and complements of fuzzy open sets are called fuzzy closed sets [5], where the complement of a fuzzy set $A$, denoted by

$$
A^{C}, \text { is } 1-A
$$

Definition 2.3. [15] The fuzzy subset $X_{\alpha}$ of a non-empty set $X$, which $x \in X$ and $0<a \leq 1$ defined by

$$
x_{a}(p)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a \text { if } p=x \\
0 \text { if } p \neq x
\end{array}\right.
$$

is called a fuzzy point in $X$ with suppost $X$ and value $a$. The fuzzy point $X_{a}$ is called point.

Definition 2.4. [15] Let $\lambda$ be fuzzy set in $X$ and $x_{a}$ a fuzzy point in $X$. we say that $X_{a} \leq \lambda$.

Definition 2.5. [9] A fuzzy set $\lambda$ of a fuzzy topological space $X$ is said to be fuzzy $\gamma$-open if $\lambda \leq C l$
$($ Int $\lambda) \vee \operatorname{Int}(C l \lambda))$ where $C l(\lambda)=\wedge\{\mu: \mu \geq \lambda, \mu$ is fuzzy closed in $X\}$ and $\operatorname{Int}(\lambda)=\wedge\{\mu: \mu \leq \lambda, \mu$ is fuzzy open in $X\}$. If $\lambda$ is fuzzy $\gamma$-open, then $1-\lambda$ is fuzzy $\gamma$ closed.
Definition 2.6. [3] Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping. Then $f$ is called a fuzzy $\gamma$-irresolute mapping if $f^{-1}(V)$ is a fuzzy $\gamma-$ open set in $X$ for each fuzzy $\gamma$-open set in $Y$.

Definition 2.7. [17] A fuzzy set $\lambda$ of a fuzzy topological space $X$ is said to be fuzzy $e$-open (resp. regular open [1]) if $\left.\lambda \leq C l\left(\operatorname{Int}_{\delta} \lambda\right) \vee \operatorname{Int}\left(C l_{\delta} \lambda\right)\right)(\operatorname{resp} . \lambda=\operatorname{Int}(C l(\lambda)))$ where $C l(\lambda)=\wedge\{\mu: \mu \geq \lambda, \mu$ is fuzzy closed in $X\}$ and $\operatorname{Int}(\lambda)=\wedge\{\mu: \mu \leq \lambda, \mu$ is fuzzy open in $X\}$. If $\lambda$ is fuzzy $e$-open, then $1-\lambda$ is fuzzy $e$-closed.

Definition 2.8. [17] Let $X$ be a fuzzy topological space and $\lambda$ be any fuzzy set in $X$. The fuzzy $e$-closure of $\lambda$ in $X$ is denoted by $e C l(\lambda)$ as follows:
$e C l(\mu)=\wedge\{\lambda: \lambda \geq \mu, \lambda$ is a fuzzy $e$-closed set of $X\}$. Similarly we can define $\operatorname{eInt}(\lambda)$.

Remark 2.9. For a fuzzy set $\lambda$ of $X, 1-e \operatorname{Int}(\lambda)=e C l$ $(1-\lambda)$.

Remark 2.10. A fuzzy set $\lambda$ is fuzzy $e$-closed if and only if $e C l(\lambda)=\lambda$.

Definition 2.11. [15] A fuzzy set $A$ in $X$ is said to be $q$ coincident with a fuzzy set $B$, denoted by $A q B$, if there exists $x \in X$ such that $A(x)+B(x)>1$. It is known that $A \leq B$ if and only if $A$ and $1-B$ are not $q$-coincident, denote by $A \bar{q}(1-B)$.

Definition 2.12. [15] A fuzzy set $B$ is a quasi neighbourhood ( $q$-neighbourhood, for short) of $A$ if and only if there exists a fuzzy open set $U$ such that $A q U \leq B$.

Definition 2.13. A fuzzy set $A$ in $X$ is said to be a $e-q-$ neighbourhood ( $e-q$-nbd, for short) of $x_{\alpha}$ if and only if there a fuzzy $e$-open set $V$ in $X$ such that $x_{\alpha} q V \leq A$.

Theorem 2.14. [15] In a fuzzy topological space $X, \lambda$ be a
closed set in $X$ for every fuzzy set $\lambda$ in $Y$.

Definition 2.20. A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is called fuzzy $e$ open [16] (resp. fuzzy pre- $e$-open) if the image of each fuzzy open (resp. fuzzy $e$-open) set in $X$ is fuzzy $e$-open in $Y$.

Definition 2.21. [2] A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is called fuzzy completely continuous if $f^{-1}(V)$ is fuzzy regular open in $X$ for every fuzzy open set $V$ of $Y$.

Definition 2.22. [16] A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is called fuzzy $e$ -irresolute (resp. Fuzzy $e$-continuous) $f^{-1}(V)$ is fuzzy $e$ open in $X$ for every fuzzy $e$-open (resp. fuzzy open) set $V$ of $Y$.

Definition 2.23. A space $(X, \tau)$ is called fuzzy nearly compact [10] (resp.fuzzy $e$-compact ) if every fuzzy regular open (resp. fuzzy $e$-open) cover of $X$ has a finite subcover.

Definition 2.24. [18] A space $X$ is called fuzzy almost normal if for each fuzzy closed set $A$ and each fuzzy regular closed set $B$ such that $A \cap B=\phi$, there exists disjoint fuzzy open sets $U$ and $V$ such that $A \leq U$ and $B \leq V$.

## 3 Fuzzy Completely e-IrResolute Function

Definition 3.1. Let $(X, \tau)$ and $(Y, \sigma)$ be a fuzzy topological spaces. A function $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow(Y, \sigma)$, is said to be a fuzzy completely $e$-irresolute function if $f^{-1}(V)$ is fuzzy regular open in $X$ for every fuzzy $e$-open set $\lambda$ of Y .

Remark 3.2. Every fuzzy strongly continuous function is fuzzy $e$-irresolute, but the converse is not true.

Example 3.3 Let $X=Y=\{a, b, c\}$. Define fuzzy sets $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}: X \rightarrow[0,1]$ such that $\tau=\{0,1\}$ and $\sigma=\left\{0,1, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right\}$ where $\mu_{1}=\frac{0.3}{a}+\frac{0.4}{b}+\frac{0.5}{c}, \mu_{2}=\frac{0.7}{a}$ $+\frac{0.5}{b}+\frac{0.5}{c}$ Define $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow(Y, \sigma)$ be the identity function. Then $f$ is fuzzy $e$-irresolute but not fuzzy strongly continuous.

Remark 3.4. Every completely $e$-irresolute function is fuzzy $e$-irresolute. But the converse is not true.

Example 3.5 Let $X=Y=\{a, b, c\}$. Define fuzzy sets $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}: X \rightarrow[0,1] \quad$ such that $\tau=\left\{0,1, \mu_{3}\right\} \quad$ and $\sigma=\left\{0,1, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right\}$ where $\mu_{1}=\frac{0.3}{a}+\frac{0.4}{b}+\frac{0.5}{c}, \quad \mu_{2}=\frac{0.7}{a}$
$+\frac{0.5}{b}+\frac{0.5}{c}$. Define $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow(Y, \sigma)$ be the identity function. Then $f$ is fuzzy $e$-irresolute but not fuzzy completely $e$-irresolute.

Remark 3.6. Every $e$-irresolute function is fuzzy $e$ irresolute. But the converse is not true.

Example 3.7. Let $X=Y=\{a, b, c\}$. Define fuzzy sets $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \mu_{3}, \mu_{4}: X \rightarrow[0,1] \quad$ such $\quad$ that $\tau=\left\{0,1, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right.$, $\left.\mu_{3}, \mu_{4}\right\}$ and $\sigma=\left\{0,1, \mu_{5}\right\}$ where $\mu_{1}=\frac{0.3}{a}+\frac{0.4}{b}+\frac{0.5}{c}$
$\mu_{2}=\frac{0.6}{a}+\frac{0.5}{b}+\frac{0.5}{c}, \mu_{3}=\frac{0.6}{a}+\frac{0.5}{b}+\frac{0.4}{c}, \quad \mu_{4}=\frac{0.3}{a}$ $+\frac{0.4}{b}+\frac{0.4}{c}, \mu_{5}=\frac{0.4}{a}+\frac{0.5}{b}+\frac{0.6}{c}$. Define $f:(X, \mathfrak{J})$ $\rightarrow(Y, \sigma)$ be the identity function. Then $\lambda=\frac{0.7}{a}+\frac{0.6}{b}$ $+\frac{0.4}{c}$ is fuzzy open but not $e$-open in $(X, \tau)$. Therefore $f$ is fuzzy $\quad \gamma$-irresolute but not fuzzy completely $e$ irresolute.

Theorem 3.8. If $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow(Y, \sigma)$ is a fuzzy completely $e$ -irresolute function $A$ is any fuzzy open subset of $X$, then the restriction $\left.f\right|_{A}: A \rightarrow Y$ is fuzzy completely $e$-irresolute.
Proof. Let $\lambda$ be a fuzzy $e$-open subset of $Y$. By hypothesis, $f^{-1}(\lambda)$ is fuzzy regular open in $X$. Since $A$ is fuzzy open in $X$, Then $\left(\left.f\right|_{A}\right)^{-1}(\lambda): f^{-1}(\lambda) \cap A$ is fuzzy regular open in $A$. Therefore, $\left.f\right|_{A}$ is fuzzy completely $e$-irresolute.

Theorem 3.9. The following hold for functions $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $g: Y \rightarrow Z:$

1. If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is fuzzy completely $e$-irresolute and $g: Y \rightarrow Z$ is fuzzy $e$-irresolute, then $g \circ f: X \rightarrow Z$ is fuzzy completely $e$-irresolute.
2. If function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is fuzzy completely continuous and is fuzzy completely $e$-irresolute, then $g \circ f: X \rightarrow Z$ is
fuzzy completely $e$-irresolute.
3. If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is fuzzy completely $e$-irresolute and $g: Y \rightarrow Z$ is fuzzye -continuous, then $g \circ f: X \rightarrow Z$ is fuzzy completely continuous.
Proof. Obvious.
Definition 3.10. A space $X$ is said to be fuzzy $e$-connected, if $X$ cannot be expressed as the union of two nonempty fuzzy $e$-open sets.

Theorem 3.11. If a mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is fuzzy completely $e$-irresolute surjection and $X$ is fuzzy almost connected then $Y$ is fuzzy $e$-connected.
Proof. Assume that $X$ is fuzzy connected and $Y$ is not fuzzy $e$-connected. Then $Y$ can be written as $Y=U \cup V$ such that $U$ and $V$ are disjoint nonempty fuzzy $e$-open sets. Since $f$ is fuzzy completely $e$-irresolute, $f^{-1}(U)$ and $\left.f^{-1}(V)\right)$ are disjoint fuzzy regular open sets and $X=f^{-1}(U) \cup f^{-1}(V)$ This shows that $X$ is not fuzzy connected. This is a contradiction.

Definition 3.12. A space $X$ is called fuzzy almost regular [6] (resp. fuzzy strongly $e$-regular) if for any fuzzy regular closed (resp. fuzzy $e$-closed) set $F \leq X$ and any point $x \in X-F$, there exists disjoint fuzzy open (resp. fuzzy $e$-open) sets $U$ and $V$ such that $x \in U$ and $F \leq V$.

Definition 3.13. A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is called fuzzy pre- $e$ -closed if the image of every fuzzy $e$-closed subset of $X$ is fuzzy $e$-closed set in $Y$.

Theorem 3.14. If a mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is fuzzy pre- $e$ closed, then for each subset $B$ of $Y$ and a fuzzy $e$-open set $U$ of $X$ containing $f^{-1}(B)$ there exists a fuzzy $e$-open set $V$ in $Y$ containing $B$ such that $f^{-1}(V) \leq U$.
Proof. Obvious.
Theorem 3.15. If $f$ is fuzzy completely $e$-irresolute $e$-open from an almost regular space $X$ onto a space $Y$, then $Y$ is fuzzy strongly $f$-regular.
Proof. Let $f$ be fuzzy $e$-closed set in $Y$ with $y \notin F$ such that $y=f(x)$. Since $f$ is fuzzy completely $e$-irresolute function, $f^{-1}(F)$ is fuzzy regular closed and so fuzzy closed set in $X$ and hence $x \notin f^{-1}(F)$. By almost regularity of $X$ there exists disjoint fuzzy open sets $U$ and $V$ such that $x \in U$ and $f^{-1}(F) \leq V$. We obtain that $y=f(x) \in f(U)$ and $F \leq f(V)$ such that $f(U)$ and
$f(V)$ are disjoint fuzzy $e$-open sets. Thus $Y$ is fuzzy strongly $e$-regular.

Definition 3.16. A space $X$ is called fuzzy strongly $e$ normal if for every pair of disjoint fuzzy $e$-closed subsets $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ of $X$ there exists disjoint fuzzy $e$-open sets $U$ and $V$ such that $F_{1} \leq U$ and $F_{2} \leq V$.

Theorem 3.17. If $f$ is fuzzy completely $e$-irresolute injective function from an fuzzy almost normal spaces $X$ onto a space $Y$ then $Y$ is fuzzy strongly $e$-normal.
Proof. Let $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ be disjoint fuzzy $e$-closed sets in $Y$. Since $f$ is fuzzy completely $e$-irresolute function $f^{-1}\left(F_{1}\right)$ and $f^{-1}\left(F_{2}\right)$ are disjoint fuzzy regular closed and so fuzzy closed set in $X$. By fuzzy almost normality of $X$, there exists disjoint fuzzy open sets $U$ and $V$ such that $f^{-1}(F)_{1} \leq U$ and $f^{-1}\left(F_{2}\right) \leq V$. We obtain that $F_{1} \leq U$ and $F_{2} \leq V$. such that $f(U)$ and $f(V)$ are disjoint fuzzy $e$ open. Thus $Y$ is fuzzy strongly $e$-normal.

Definition 3.18. A fuzzy topological space $(X, \tau)$ is said to be fuzzy $e-T_{1}$ (resp. fuzzy $r-T_{1}$ ) if for each pair of distinct points $x$ and $y$ of $X$, there exists fuzzy $e$-open (resp. fuzzy regular open) sets $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$ such that $x \in U_{1}$ and $y \in U_{2}$, $x \notin U_{2}$ and $y \notin U_{1}$.

Theorem 3.19. If $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow(Y, \sigma)$ is fuzzy completely $e$ -irresolute injective function and $Y$ is fuzzy $e-T_{1}$ then $X$ is fuzzy $r-T_{1}$.
Proof. Suppose that $Y$ is fuzzy $e-T_{1}$. For any two distinct points $x$ and $y$ of $X$, there exists fuzzy $e$-open sets $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ in $Y$ such that $f(x) \in F_{1}, f(y) \in F_{2}, f(x) \notin F_{2}$ and $f(y) \notin F_{1}$. Since $f$ injective fuzzy completely $e$-irresolute function, we have $X$ is fuzzy $r-T_{1}$.

Definition 3.20. A fuzzy topological space $(X, \tau)$ is said to be fuzzy $e-T_{1}$ (resp. fuzzy $r-T_{1}$ ) if for each pair of distinct points $x$ and $y$ of $X$, there exists disjoint fuzzy $e$-open (resp. fuzzy regular open) sets $A$ and $B$ such that $x \in A$ and $y \in B$.

Theorem 3.21. If $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow(Y, \sigma)$ is fuzzy completely $e$ irresolute injective function and $Y$ is fuzzy $e-T_{2}$ then $X$ is fuzzy $r-T_{2}$.
Proof. Suppose that $Y$ is fuzzy $e-T_{2}$. For any two distinct points $x$ and $y$ of $X$, there exists fuzzy $e$-open sets $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ in $Y$ such that $f(x) \in F_{1}, \quad f(y) \in F_{2}$, $f(x) \notin F_{2}$ and $f(y) \notin F_{1}$. Since $f$ injective fuzzy completely $e$-irresolute function, we have $X$ is fuzzy $r-T_{1}$.

## 4 Fuzzy Completely weakly e -Irresolute Function

Definition 4.1. A function $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow(Y, \sigma)$ is fuzzy completely weakly $e$-irresolute if and only if the inverse image of each fuzzy $e$-open set $V$ in $Y$ is fuzzy open set in $X$.

It is evident that every fuzzy completely $e$-irresolute function is fuzzy completely weakly $e$-irresolute function and every completely weakly $e$-irresolute function is fuzzy $e$ irresolute.

However, none of the above implications are not true as shown in the following example.

Example 4.2 Let $I=[0,1]$ and $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$ be fuzzy subsets of
$I$ defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{1}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{5}(6 x+1) \text { if } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{4} \\
\frac{1}{3}(2 x+1) \text { if } \frac{1}{4} \leq x \leq 1
\end{array}\right. \\
& \mu_{2}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{10}(4 x+1) \text { if } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{4} \\
\frac{4}{3}(1-x) \text { if } \frac{1}{4} \leq x \leq 1
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly $\tau_{1}=\{0,1\}$ and $\tau_{2}=\left\{0,1, \mu_{1}\right\}$ and $\tau_{3}=\left\{0,1, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right.$, $\left.\mu_{1} \vee \mu_{2}, \mu_{1} \wedge \mu_{2}\right\}$ are topologies on $I$. Let $f:\left(I, \tau_{1}\right)$
$\rightarrow\left(I, \tau_{2}\right)$ be defined by $f(x)=x$ for each $x \in I$. Then $f$ is fuzzy $e$-irresolute but not fuzzy completely weakly $e$ irresolute.

Let $g:\left(I, \tau_{3}\right) \rightarrow\left(I, \tau_{2}\right)$ be defined by $g(x)=x$ for each $x \in I$. Then $g^{-1}=(1), g^{-1}\left(\mu_{2}\right)=\left(\mu_{2}\right)$ which is fuzzy open but not regular open in $\left(I, \tau_{3}\right)$ Therefore, $g$ is fuzzy
completely weakly $e$-irresolute but not fuzzy completely $e$ irresolute.

Theorem 4.3. For a function $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow(Y, \sigma)$, the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $f$ is fuzzy completely weakly $e$-irresolute;
(ii) for each fuzzy point $x_{\alpha}$ in $X$ and each fuzzy $e$-open $e$ -$q$-nbd $V$ of $f\left(x_{\alpha}\right)$, there exists a fuzzy open $q$-nbd $U$ of $x_{\alpha}$ subset that $f(U) \leq V$;
(iii) $f(C l(A)) \leq e C l(f(A))$, for each fuzzy set $A$ in $X$;
(iv) $C l\left(f^{-1}(B)\right) \leq f^{-1}(e C l(B))$, for each fuzzy set $B$ in $Y$;
(v) for each fuzzy $e$-closed set $V$ in $Y, f^{-1}(V)$ is fuzzy closed set in $X$;
(vi) $f^{-1}(e-\operatorname{Int}(B)) \leq \operatorname{Int}\left(f^{-1}(B)\right)$, for each fuzzy set $B$ in $Y$.
Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Let $V$ be any fuzzy $e$-open $e-q-n b d$ of $f\left(x_{\alpha}\right)$ in $Y$. Then $V(f(x))+\alpha>1$. We choose a positive real number $\delta$ such that $V(f(x))>\delta>1-\alpha$ Then $V$ is a fuzzy $e$-open set, $f\left(x_{\alpha}\right) \in V$. By hypothesis, there exists fuzzy open set $U, x_{\alpha} \in U$ such that $f(U) \leq V, U(X)>$ $\delta>1-\alpha$. Therefore, $U$ is a fuzzy open $q$-nbd of $x_{\alpha}$.
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). Let $x_{\alpha} \in C l(A)$ then $U q A$ and $f(U) q f(A)$ implies $\operatorname{Vqf}(A), f\left(x_{\alpha}\right) \in e C l(f(A))$ and $x_{\alpha} f^{-1}(e C l$
$(f(A))$. Therefore, $C l(A) \leq f^{-1}(e C l(f(A)))$. Hence, $f\left(x_{\alpha}\right) \in e C l(f(A)) f f^{-1}(e C l(f(A))) \leq e C l(f(A))$.
(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv). Clear
(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Let $x_{\alpha}$ be a fuzzy point in $X$ and $V$ be a fuzzy $e$ open $e-q$-nbd of $f\left(x_{\alpha}\right)$ and let $f\left(x_{\alpha}\right) \notin e C l(1-V)$, otherwise since $V$ is a fuzzy $e$-open $e-q$-nbd of $f\left(x_{\alpha}\right)$, we have $V q(1-V)$ which is a contradiction. Thus, $x_{\alpha} \notin f^{-1}(e C l(1-V))$ and by hypothesis, $x_{\alpha} \neq C l\left(f^{-1}(1\right.$ $-U)$ ). Then there exists a fuzzy open set $U$ of $x_{\alpha}$ such that $U \bar{q} f^{-1}(1-V)$ which implies that $f(U) \leq V$.
(iv) $\Rightarrow(\mathrm{v})$. Let $F$ be any $e$-closed set in $Y$. By (iv), we have $C l\left(f^{-1}(F)\right) \leq f^{-1}(e C l(F))=f^{-1}(F)$ and so, $f^{-1}(F)$ is fuzzy closed in $X$.
(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (v) Clear.
(i) $\Rightarrow$ (vi) For any fuzzy set $V$ in $Y, e-\operatorname{Int}(V)$ is fuzy $e-$ open set in $Y$ and so $f^{-1}(e \operatorname{Int}(V))$ is fuzzy open set in $X$.

Hence $f^{-1}\left(e \operatorname{Int}(V)=\operatorname{Int}\left(f^{-1}(e \operatorname{Int}(V))\right) \leq \operatorname{Int}\left(f^{-1}(V)\right)\right.$. $(\mathrm{vi}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{i})$. Obvious.

Theorem 4.4. Let $X$ and $Y$ be fuzzy topological space such that $X$ is product related to $Y$. Then the product $U \times V$ of a fuzzy $e$-open set $U$ in $X$ and fuzzy $e$-open set $V$ in $Y$. is a fuzzy $e$-open set in the fuzzy product space.
Proof. Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.10 in [1]
Theorem 4.5. If $f_{i}: X_{i} \rightarrow Y_{i}(\mathrm{i}=1,2)$ are fuzzy completely weakly $e$-irresolute functions and $Y_{1}$ is product related to $Y_{2}$, then $f_{i}: X_{1} \times X_{2} \rightarrow Y_{1} \times Y_{2}$ is fuzzy completely weakly $e$-irresolute.
Proof. Consider $A=\vee\left(U_{i} \times V_{i}\right)$ where $U_{i}$ 's and $V_{i}$ 's are fuzzy $e$-open sets of $Y_{1}$ and $Y_{2}$, respectively. Since $Y_{1}$ is producted to $Y_{2}$, then from Theorem 4.2., $A$ is fuzzy $e$-open set of $Y_{1} \times Y_{2}$. By Lemma 2.1. and 2.2., $f^{-1}(A)=\vee\left(f_{1}^{-1}\left(U_{i}\right) \times f_{2}^{-2}\left(V_{i}\right)\right)$. Since $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are completely weakly $e$-irresolute, $f^{-1}(A)$ is a fuzzy open in $X_{1} \times X_{2}$.
Theorem 4.6. Let $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow(Y, \sigma)$ be a function and $X$ is product related to $Y$. If the graph $g: X \rightarrow X \times Y$ of $f$ is fuzzy completely weakly $e$-irresolute, then so is $f$.
Proof. Let $V$ be a fuzzy $e$-open set in Y. By Lemma 2.3., we have $f^{-1}(V)=1 \wedge f^{-1}(V)=g^{-1}(1 \times V)$. Since $g$ is fuzzy completely weakly $e$-irresolute and $1 \times V$ is fuzzy $e$-open set in $X \times Y . f^{-1}(V)$ is fuzzy open set in $X$ and so, $f$ is fuzzy completely weakly $e$-irresolute.

Next, the composition and preservation of fuzzy topological structure under the fuzzy completely weakly $e$ irresolute which other fuzzy functions are studied.

The proof of the following theorem is obvious and hence omitted.

Theorem 4.7. Let $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow(Y, \sigma)$ and $g:(Y, \sigma) \rightarrow$ $(Z, \eta)$ be two functions.

1. If is fuzzy completely weakly $e$-irresolute and is $g$ fuzzy completely $e$-irresolute, then $g \circ f$ is fuzzy completely $e$ irresolute.
2. If $f$ is fuzzy completely weakly $e$-irresolute and $g$ is fuzzy $e$-irresolute, then $g \circ f$ is fuzzy completely weakly $g \circ f$-irresolute.
3. If $f$ is fuzzy completely continuous and $g$ is fuzzy completely weakly $e$-irresolute, then $g \circ f$ is fuzzy completely $e$-irresolute.
4. If $f$ is fuzzy completely $e$-irresolute and $g$ is fuzzy completely weakly $e$-irresolute, then $g \circ f$ is fuzzy completely $\boldsymbol{e}$-irresolute.
5. If f is fuzzy totally continuous and $g$ is fuzzy completely weakly $e$-irresolute, then $g \circ f$ is fuzzy completely $e$ irresolute.
6. If f is fuzzy completely weakly $e$-irresolute and $g$ is fuzzy $e$-continuous, then $g \circ f$ is fuzzy continuous.
7. If f is fuzzy $e$-continuous and $g$ is fuzzy completely weakly e -irresolute, then $g \circ f$ is fuzzy $e$-irresolute.
8. If f is fuzzy continuous and $g$ is fuzzy completely weakly $e$-irresolute, then $g \circ f$ is fuzzy completely weakly $e$ irresolute.
Proof. Obvious.
Theorem 4.8. Let $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow(Y, \sigma)$ is fuzzy almost open surjective function
and $g:(Y, \sigma) \rightarrow(Z, \eta)$ is function such that $g \circ f:$
$(X, \tau) \rightarrow(Z, \eta)$ is fuzzy completely $e$-irresolute, then g is fuzzy completely weakly $e$-irresolute.
Proof. Let $V$ be a fuzzy $e$-open set in $Z$. since $g \circ f$ is fuzzy completely $e$-irresolute, $\left.(g \circ f)^{-1}(V)=f^{-1} g^{-1}(V)\right)$ is fuzzy regular open in $X$. Since $f$ is fuzzy almost open surjective, $f\left(f^{-1}\left(g^{-1}(V)\right)=g^{-1}(V)\right.$ is fuzzy open in $Y$. Therefore, $g$ is fuzzy completely weakly $e$-irresolute.

Theorem 4.9. Let $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow(Y, \sigma)$ is fuzzy open surjective function and $g:(Y, \sigma) \rightarrow(Z, \eta)$ is a function such that $g \circ f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow(Z, \eta)$ is fuzzy completely weakly $e$ irresolute, then $g$ is fuzzy completely weakly $e$-irresolute.
Proof. Similar to the proof of Theorem 4.6.
Theorem 4.10. Let $P_{i}$ be the projection function from $\Pi X_{i}$ onto $X_{i}$. If $f: X \rightarrow \prod X_{i}$ is fuzzy completely weakly $e$ irreolute function, then $P_{i} \circ f$ is also fuzzy completely weakly $e$-irresolute.
Proof. Obvious.
Definition 4.11. A collection $\mu$ of fuzzy sets in a fuzzy space $X$ is said to be cover [8] of a fuzzy set $\eta$ of $X$ if and only if
$\left(\vee_{A \in \mu} A\right)(x)=1$, for every $x \in S(\eta)$. A fuzzy cover $\mu$ of a fuzzy set $\eta$ in a fuzzy space $X$ is said to have a finite subcover if and only if there exists a finite subcollection $\rho=\left\{A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{n}\right.$ of $\mu$ such that $\left(\vee_{A \in \mu} A\right)(x) \geq \eta(x)$,
for every $x \in s(\eta)$, where $s(\eta)$ denotes the support of a fuzzyset $\eta$.

Definition 4.12. A fuzzy topological space $X$ is called:

1. fuzzy compact [7] if every fuzzy open cover $\lambda$ of $X$ has a finite subcover.
2. fuzzy $e$-compact [16] if every fuzzy $e$-open cover $\lambda$ of X has a finite subcover.
3. fuzzy $e$-closed [16] if every fuzzy $e$-open cover $\lambda$ of $X$ has a finite subfamily $V$ of $\lambda$ such that $\left(\bigvee_{u \in V} \operatorname{eCl}(u)\right)(x)=1$ for each $x \in X$.

Theorem 4.13. Let $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow(Y, \sigma)$ is a fuzzy completely weakly $e$-irresolute surjective function and $X$ is fuzzy compact space, then $Y$ is fuzzy $e$-compact.
Proof. Let $\left\{V_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Lambda\right\}$ be any fuzzy $e$-open cover of $Y$.
Then $\left\{f^{-1}\left(V_{\alpha}\right): \alpha \in \Lambda\right\}$ is a fuzzy open cover of $X$. Since $X$ is fuzzy compact there exists a finite subfamily $\left.f^{-1}\left(V_{\alpha}\right): i=1,2, \ldots, n\right\}$ of $\left\{f^{-1}\left(V_{\alpha}\right): \alpha \in \Lambda\right\}$ which covers $X$. Hence, $Y$ is fuzzy $e$-compact.

Corollary 4.14. Let $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow(Y, \sigma)$ is fuzzy completely weakly $e$-irresolute surjective function and $X$ is fuzzy compact space, then $Y$ is fuzzy $e$-closed.

Definition 4.15. Two non-zero fuzzy sets $A$ and $B$ in $X$ are said to be separated [13] (resp. fuzzy $e$-separated) if $A \bar{q} C l(B)$ and $\quad B \bar{q} C l(A) \quad$ (resp. $\quad A \bar{q} e C l(B)$ and $B \bar{q} e C l(A))$.

Definition 4.16. A fuzzy topological space $X$ is said to be fuzzy connected [14] (resp. fuzzy $e$-connected) if it cannot be expressed as the union of two fuzzy separated (resp. fuzzy $e$ separated) sets.

Lemma 4.17. Two non-zero fuzzy sets $A$ and $B$ are fuzzy $e$ separated if and only if there exist two fuzzy $e$-open sets $U$ and $V$ such that $A \leq U, B \leq V, A q V$ and $B q U$.

Theorem 4.18. Let $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow(Y, \sigma)$ is fuzzy completely
weakly $e$-irresolute surjective function. If $U$ is a fuzzy connected subset in $X$, then $f(U)$ is fuzzy $e$-connected in $Y$.
Proof. Suppose that $f(U)$ is not $e$-connected in $Y$. Then there exist fuzzy $e$-separated subsets $G$ and $H$ in $Y$. such that $f(U)=G \vee H$. By Lemma 4.1., thereexist fuzzy $e$-open subsets $V$ and $W$ such that $G \leq V, H \leq W, G \bar{q} W$ and $H \bar{q} V$. Since $f$ is fuzzy complete weakly $e$-irresolute, $f^{-1}(G)$ and $f^{-1}(H)$ are fuzzy open in $X$ and $U=f^{-1}(f(U))=f^{-1}(G \vee H)=f^{-1}(G) \vee f^{-1}(H)$. It is clear that $f^{-1}(G)$ and $f^{-1}(H)$ are fuzzy separated in $X$. Therefore, $U$ is not fuzzy connected in $X$.

Corollary 4.19. Let $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow(Y, \sigma)$ be a fuzzy completely weakly $e$-irresolute surjective function. If $U$ is a fuzzy connected subset in $X$, then $f(U)$ is also fuzzy $e$ connected.

Theorem 4.20. A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is fuzzy completely weakly $e$-irresolute if the graph function $g: X \rightarrow X \times X$, defined by $g(x)=(x, g(x))$ for each $x \in X$ is fuzzy com pletely weakly $e$-irresolute.
Proof. Let $V$ be any fuzzy $e$-open set of $Y$. Then $1 \times V$ is a fuzzy $e$-open set of $X \times Y$. Since $g$ is fuzzy completely $e$ -irresolute, $f^{-1}(V)=g^{-1}(1 \times V)$ is fuzzy regular open in $X$. Thus $f$ is fuzzy completely weakly $e$-irresolute.

Theorem 4.21. If $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow(Y, \sigma)$ is fuzzy completely weakly $e$-irresolute injective function and $Y$ is fuzzy $e-T_{1}$ then $X$ is fuzzy Hausdorff.
Proof. Let $x, y$ be any two distinct points of $X$. Since f is injective, we have $f(x) \neq f(y)$. Since $Y$ is fuzzy $e-T_{2}$, there exists $V$ and $W$ are $e$-open sets in $Y$. such that $V \wedge W=0$. Since $f$ is fuzzy completely weakly $e$ irresolute, there exists fuzzy open sets $G$ and $H$ in $X$ such that $f(G) \leq V$ and $f(H) \leq W$. Hence we obtain $G \wedge H=0$. This shows that $X$ is fuzzy Hausdorff.

Theorem 4.22. If a function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a fuzzy completely weakly $e$-irresolute surjection and $X$ is fuzzy connected, then $Y$ is fuzzy $e$-connected.
Proof Suppose that $Y$ is not fuzzy $e$-connected. There exists non empty fuzzy $e$-open sets $V$ and $W$ of $Y$ such that $Y=V \vee W$. Since f is fuzzy completely weakly $e$-irresolute
$f^{-1}(V)$ and $f^{-1}(W)$ are fuzzy open sets and $X=f^{-1}(V) \vee f^{-1}(W)$. This shows that $X$ is not fuzzy connected. This is a contradiction.

## Conclusion

We have defined and proved basic properties of Fuzzy Completely $e$-Irresolute Functions and Fuzzy Completely Weakly $e$-Irresolute Function. Many results have been established to show how far topological structures are preserved by these $e$ Irresolute Functions. We also have provided examples where such properties fail to be preserved.
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